UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.     | FILING DATE            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/612,856          | 07/03/2003             | James D. Webb        | P-8888.05           | 4405             |
| 27581<br>MEDTRONIC, | 7590 02/26/200<br>INC. | 8                    | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 710 MEDTRON         | NIC PARKWAY NE         | MANUEL, GEORGE C     |                     |                  |
| MIINNEAPOLI         | S, MN 55432-9924       |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                     |                        |                      | 3762                |                  |
|                     |                        |                      |                     |                  |
|                     |                        |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                     |                        |                      | 02/26/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/612,856      | WEBB ET AL.  |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |  |
| George Manuel   | 3762         |  |  |

|  | George Manuel  | 3762   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with t  | the correspondence add   | ress                                     |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>01 February 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:  | the same day as filing a Notice<br>replies: (1) an amendment, affi<br>ral (with appeal fee) in complia   | e of Appeal. To avoid abar<br>davit, or other evidence, w<br>nce with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request            |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set the<br>later than SIX MONTHS from the molon. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN | ailing date of the final rejection   | n.                                       |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ension and the corresponding amo<br>hortened statutory period for reply                                  | ount of the fee. The appropria<br>originally set in the final Office                   | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi   | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)  | ), to avoid dismissal of the   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  | sideration and/or search (see w);  | NOTE below);   |  |  |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)   | 16 and 41.33(a)).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ol>   | ·  |  | ,  |  |  |  |  |
| non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   | will not be entered, or b)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under a <sub>l</sub>   | ppeal and/or appellant fail  | s to provide a                           |  |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER<br>11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but   |  | •  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:   |  |  | oo boodase.                              |  |  |  |  |
|  | /George Manuel/<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 3762  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Means for transferring retrieved data to a remote data center location having a web server would require further consideration and/or search .